Factors Associated With Fibrate-Induced Creatinine Elevation: Observations in an Outpatient Setting
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Abstract

Background: A reversible rise in creatinine with fibrates has been previously documented. However, this phenomenon remains poorly understood with no associated factors described. We wished to study changes seen in creatinine following fibrate therapy in a routine outpatient setting and identify related risk factors.

Methods: Data was collected from the records of patients started on fibrates (n = 132) in the lipid clinic at Good Hope Hospital, Sutton Coldfield between 2002 and 2008. Pre and post-fibrate creatinine concentrations were obtained from the pathology database. Creatinine was measured using the Jaffe method on the Roche modular P Unit.

Results: Fenofibrate was used in 117 (88.6\%) patients while the remaining 15 patients were on bezafibrate. Creatinine increased from a mean of 81.9 (sd = 17.3, median = 83, range: 33 - 127) umol/L to 93.8 (sd = 20.4, median = 91, range: 52 - 143) umol/L following fibrate treatment. Regression analyses showed that male gender (coefficient = 6.64 (95\% CI: 0.99/12.29), P = 0.022), pre-treatment creatinine concentration (coefficient = -0.20 (95\% CI: -0.35/-0.046), P = 0.011), diabetes (coefficient = -6.37 (95\% CI: -12.36/-0.38), P = 0.037) and change in TG (coefficient: -1.02, 95\% CI -1.39/-0.65, P ≤ 0.001) were significantly associated with creatinine change. All the above remained significant when entered into a multiple regression model suggesting independence.

Conclusions: This study confirms that creatinine increased in our group of patients when fibrates were commenced. Further studies are required to confirm and further explore our findings.
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Introduction

Fibrates are lipid-lowering agents primarily used to reduce raised TG levels. They act by binding and activating the PPAR\(\alpha\) receptor, this leading to the activation of a nuclear transcription factor that in turn regulates expression of genes involved in fatty acid and lipoprotein metabolism [1-3]. This results in a modest decrease in LDL-C concentrations, a greater reduction in TG levels and a variable increase in HDL-C concentrations [4].

Creatinine, an amino acid derivative of phosphocreatine and creatine, is an end product of muscle metabolism. About 2\% of creatine is converted to creatinine daily at a fairly constant rate. It is freely filtered through the glomerulus and between 10\% and 40\% (depending on underlying renal function) is secreted by the proximal tubules [5].

A reversible rise in serum creatinine values is included amongst the adverse-effect profile of fibrates. The recent ACCORD-LIPID study demonstrated that serum creatinine levels increased significantly following fenofibrate treatment [6]. The mean increase was from 82 \(\mu\)mol/L to 97 \(\mu\)mol/L at the end of the first year. Similarly, a subgroup analysis of the FIELD study demonstrated a significant increase in mean creatinine levels from 73 \(\mu\)mol/L to 87 \(\mu\)mol/L following five years of fenofibrate treatment [7]. There has been speculation as to the underlying reason for this observation. Proposed explanations have included analytical interference of fibrates with creatinine, increased metabolic production or reduced renal clearance of creatinine.

Hottelart et al in 1999 described a significant 16\% increase in creatinine in 13 dyslipidaemic patients following 2 weeks of fenofibrate treatment [8]. They and Ansquer et al
Table 1. Pre and Post-Fibrate Treatment Creatinine Values Amongst Patients Stratified by Different Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre Treatment Creatinine (µmol/L)</th>
<th>Post Treatment Creatinine (µmol/L)</th>
<th>Paired t test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Diabetic</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On statin treatment</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not on statin treatment</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
investigated whether the hypercreatininemia was due to an analytical interference by comparing the Jaffe colorimetric method with HPLC and mass spectrometry. Both studies showed that elevation of creatinine was real and not method dependant. Hottelart et al \[10\] in a subsequent study and Anssquer et al \[9\] concluded that an increased metabolic production rate was the most likely cause of the raised creatinine observed as urinary levels of creatinine were not reduced and GFR measured by inulin was not impaired. Davidson et al. also agreed in a review of the safety profile of fibrate therapy that the increase in creatinine observed did not represent a true deterioration in renal function \[11\]. They noted that on review of various clinical trials describing increased serum creatinine following fibrate therapy, creatinine clearance was not decreased. Interestingly, even as urea, cystatin C and homocysteine increased in line with the creatinine these studies did not show an expected reduction in GFR. However, Lipscombe et al \[12\] suggested that the most likely explanation for the observed phenomenon was an alteration in renal haemodynamics induced by fibrates. They demonstrated a reversible increase in creatinine following fibre therapy in a group of 10 men, 6 of whom had received a renal transplant with 5 patients on cyclosporine treatment. Similarly Tsimihodimos et al have also proposed that fibrates, probably through their action on PPAR\(\alpha\), affect the synthesis of vasodilatory prostaglandins in the kidney \[13\].

Thus although this phenomenon has been well documented in large randomised controlled trials as well as small patient series, the underlying mechanism remains poorly understood. Patient characteristics associated with it have also not been reported in the literature. It has been our policy to measure serum creatinine in patients attending our lipid clinic at Good Hope Hospital in line with NKD and NLA recommendations before initiating fibrate treatment and on subsequent visits \[11, 14\]. In our study, we wished to confirm this expected increase in creatinine and also determine any baseline factors that may be associated with any change in creatinine levels.

### Subjects and Methods

Patients started on fibrates between 2002 and 2008 in the lipid clinic at Good Hope Hospital (part of the Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust) were identified from the electronic patient record database by using appropriate search keywords. Data was collected from 132 consecutive case notes of patients. Lifestyle advice was given to all patients at the initial appointment and this preceded fibrate treatment. The pre-fibrate treatment TC, TG, HDL-C, calculated LDL-C and creatinine concentrations were obtained from the pathology database just prior to fibrate initiation. The post-

---

**Table 2.** Model 1: Association Between Change in Creatinine Concentration (Dependent Variable) and Baseline Factors (Independent Variable) Studied Using Separate Linear Regression Analyses; Model 2: Multiple Regression Analysis With Change in Creatinine (Dependent Variable) and Changes in Lipid Values (Independent Variables). Analysis Corrected for Duration of Fibrate Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>coefficient</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>1.0 / 12.3</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre treatment Creatinine</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.3 / -0.1</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.3 / 0.2</td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-6.37</td>
<td>-12.4 / -0.4</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of treatment</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>-6.5 / 3.1</td>
<td>0.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin treatment</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>-3.78</td>
<td>-9.1 / 1.5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in TC levels</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-1.68 / 2.77</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in TG levels</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
<td>-2.43 / -0.32</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in HDL-C levels</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>-6.53</td>
<td>-6.30 / 19.36</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
treatment levels were the most recent results available (up to 30.03.2009) or prior to addition of another agent that could affect lipid values or glycaemic control.

TC, TG, HDL-C and creatinine (Jaffe method) levels were measured on the Roche Modular platform P800 analyser using Roche reagents. According to the manufacturers data no significant interference in creatinine analysis was observed up to a lipaemic index of 1000; creatinine values not reported when lipaemic index exceeded this figure. TG content did not appear to correlate with the lipaemic index.

The data was entered on an excel spreadsheet and then transferred to the STATA (version 8.0 for Windows) statistics programme for analysis. Paired t test was performed to determine significant change in creatinine following fibrate treatment. Linear and multiple regression analyses were carried out to study factors that were associated with change in serum creatinine. When the independent variable was not continuous, one characteristic of the variable was chosen as the reference category and the other characteristics of that variable were factorised and compared to the reference category with regard to the selected outcome (dependent variable).

### Results

Fenofibrate was used in 117 (88.6%) patients while the remaining 15 patients were on bezafibrate. Males made up 69.7% of the cohort (92 patients). It was observed that males had a significantly higher (P = 0.0001 on t test) baseline creatinine concentration (mean = 86.0 µmol/L) compared to females (mean = 73.4 µmol/L).

Creatinine increased significantly (paired t test, P < 0.001) from a mean of 81.9 (sd = 17.3, median = 83, range: 33 - 127) µmol/L to 93.8 (sd = 20.4, median = 91, range: 52 - 143) µmol/L following fibrate treatment. Table 1 demonstrates that a significant increase in creatinine was observed in all patient subgroups (regardless of gender, diabetes status and concurrent statin treatment).

#### Association between baseline characteristics and change in creatinine levels

We investigated pre-treatment factors that were associated with the change in creatinine, by carrying out separate linear regression analyses with creatinine change (dependent variable) and baseline factors as well as duration of treatment (independent variables); Table 2- model 1. Male gender (coefficient = +6.64, reference: female gender) and lower baseline creatinine levels (coefficient = -0.20) were significantly associated with greater creatinine increase compared to females and higher baseline creatinine levels respectively. A smaller rise in creatinine levels was observed in patients with diabetes (coefficient = -6.37) compared to non diabetic patients.

#### Combination of gender and baseline creatinine in relation to changes in creatinine following fibrate treatment

We have seen that males had a significantly higher pre-treatment creatinine level. As male gender and lower pre-treatment creatinine levels were associated with greater increase in creatinine following fibrate treatment we wished to further study the relationship between these two factors. Four new combinations based on creatinine levels (above or below median level: 83 µmol/L) and gender were created.
Factors Associated With Fibrate-Induced

We wished to study whether fibrate efficacy with regards lipid lowering was related to the increase in creatinine observed. Baseline TC (mean/median 6.7/6.1 mmol/L), HDL-C (mean/median 1.1/1.1 mmol/L) and TG levels (mean/median 7.4/5.3 mmol/L) were not associated with the creatinine change following fibrate introduction; the multiple regression model was corrected for length of treatment as we have seen that this was associated with changes in lipid values (doi:10.1089/met.2011.0112). We then wished to determine if the change in creatinine was associated with changes in lipid values. In our patients there were significant changes in lipid levels following fibrate treatment (mean/median changes: HDL-C increase 0.059/0.10 mmol/L, TC decrease 0.91/0.50 mmol/L, TG decrease 3.61/2.35 mmol/L). The changes observed in TC (coefficient = -2.52, 95% CI: -3.62/-1.43, P ≤ 0.001) and TG (coefficient = -1.02, 95% CI: -1.39/-0.65, P ≤ 0.001) were associated with the change seen in creatinine. No such significant association was seen with HDL-C (coefficient = +5.75, 95% CI: -6.69/+18.20, P = 0.36). As co-linearity was observed we entered TC, TG and HDL-C changes following fibrate treatment into a multiple regression model (Table 2, model 2) with changes observed in creatinine as the dependent variable and only the TG change remained significantly associated with change in creatinine. In contrast to our findings, Broeders et al on studying the effects of gemfibrozil concluded that hypercreatininaemia was not associated with age, gender or pre-treatment creatinine levels [15]. As gemfibrozil is less associated with this phenomenon one can speculate the possibility of within class variation.

We wished to further study the nature of this association and categorised the change in TG levels into quartiles as follows. Q1: TG decrease > 4.3 mmol/L, Q2: TG decrease between 2.51 mmol/L and 4.3 mmol/L, Q3: TG decrease between 0.81 mmol/L and 2.50 mmol/L, Q4: TG decrease < 0.80 mmol/L up to an increase of 5.2 mmol/L. Further regression analysis was carried out with the above factorised quartiles as independent variables (Q4 = reference category) and creatinine change as the dependent variable, with the analysis corrected for duration of fibrate treatment. It was observed that only the quartile with the largest decrease in TG (Q1) was significantly associated with an increase in creatinine (coefficient = +15.47, 95% CI: +8.14/+22.80, P ≤ 0.001). Q1 remained significantly associated with the increase in creatinine when compared to Q2 (coefficient = +12.74, 95% CI: +5.44/+20.03, P = 0.001) and Q3 (coefficient = +10.87, 95% CI: +3.52/+18.22, P = 0.004).

Change in creatinine observed in all the risk factors identified by our study

We now carried out a similar multiple regression analysis with all the significant factors observed previously as independent variables. Male gender, diabetes, pre-treatment creatinine and decrease in TG levels all remained significant. The mean increase in creatinine levels seen in these patients is presented in Figure 1. We would have liked to have studied interactions between all the above groups as this could have potentially led to useful pointers regards mechanism for the increase in creatinine. However, our patient numbers restricted us from this.

Discussion

A reversible increase in creatinine has been reported previously in patients, both with normal baseline creatinine and impaired renal function, treated with fenofibrate, bezafibrate and ciprofibrate [8, 10, 12, 13, 15-18]. Gemfibrozil in some surveys appears less associated with this phenomenon [15]. Interestingly, clinical trials with the dual PPARα/γ agonist tesaglitazar demonstrated an elevation in serum creatinine [19, 20]. Although well recognised, the mechanisms of this phenomenon and the patient groups at risk remain unclear. Our retrospective study of patients treated with fibrates in an outpatient clinic revealed the mean creatinine levels to increase significantly by 11.9 µmol/L. This increase was significantly associated with male gender, lower pre-treatment creatinine, non-diabetic patients and patients demonstrating the greatest reduction in plasma TG values. None of the above factors have previously been associated with the increase in creatinine. In contrast to our findings, Broeders et al on studying the effects of gemfibrozil concluded that hypercreatininaemia was not associated with age, gender or pre-treatment creatinine levels [15]. As gemfibrozil is less associated with this phenomenon one can speculate the possibility of within class variation.

PPARα is expressed in tissues demonstrating higher rates of fatty acid catabolism such as the kidneys, skeletal muscle, cardiac muscle and liver [21]. Fibrates bind to the PPARα-RXR complex, which is involved in the regulation of a number of genes coding for proteins involved in lipoprotein metabolism with TG reduction one of its principal effects [1]. It has been reported that the change in lipid values is related to the nature of the binding between fibrates and PPARα-RXR [22]. We have observed that the creatinine increase following fibrate treatment was associated with the change in TG levels. Thus, we can speculate that the nature
of the binding of fibrates to PPARα-RXR, either in the kidneys or muscle may play some part in this phenomenon.

It has been suggested that the increased creatinine may signify genuine renal impairment due to PPARα mediated down-regulation of cyclooxygenase resulting in reduced vasodilatory prostaglandins in the kidney [15]. Studies supporting this hypothesis provide evidence that gemfibrozil, the fibrate least associated with hypercreatininaemia, does not inhibit the production of vasodilatory prostaglandins as opposed to other fibrates such as clofibrate or ciprofibrate [23, 24]. None of our patients were on gemfibrozil. Creatinine levels are dependent on both glomerular filtration and tubular secretion in the kidney via an organic cation receptor in the proximal tubule [25]. There is no evidence that fibrates (and their metabolites) which are organic anions affect renal tubular secretion of creatinine [26]. In fact a rise in urinary creatinine levels have been observed in patients experiencing hypercreatininaemia following fibrate therapy [9, 10]. Several studies which have measured GFR in patients with creatinine elevation (up to a 20% increase) following fibrate treatment have not demonstrated glomerular impairment using inulin [8-10]. Significant direct nephrotoxicity induced by fibrates within the commonly observed range of creatinine increase is unlikely as no increase in proteinuria has previously been reported with these drugs; in fact a reduction in the rate of onset and progression of microalbuminuria has been described [27]. A recent animal study by Ovcharenko et al. did not reveal any evidence of a direct nephrotoxic effect or deterioration in renal haemodynamics caused by either PPARα (fenofibrate) or dual PPARα/γ (tesaglitazar) agonists [28].

Another view is that increased creatinine production from muscle is the most likely cause of hypercreatininaemia following fibrate use [26]. PPARα is a regulator of fatty acid oxidation in skeletal muscle [29]. Measurement of mRNA in skeletal muscle biopsies demonstrated that mRNA of PPARα was correlated with the mRNA of lipoprotein lipase and muscle CPT2, both enzymes involved with fatty acid metabolism [30]. There has also been a suggestion that part of the lipid lowering effects of PPAR activators may be mediated by muscle clearance and utilisation of plasma lipids [31]. However, no reports exist of a correlation between fatty acid oxidation in the muscle and hypercreatininaemia. Interestingly muscle damage has been reported in patients with the myopathic form of CPT2 deficiency, perhaps due to impaired ability to utilise fatty acids [32]. This again does not provide an explanation to the association between TG change and hypercreatininaemia.

We have observed that the increase in creatinine following fibrates was associated with male gender and lower baseline creatinine levels. Males have a significantly higher creatinine than females due to a greater muscle mass [33] and this was also the case in our study (P < 0.0001, unpaired t-test). Not surprisingly, both these factors were independent of each other. We could not study the interaction between gender and baseline creatinine and the effect on the change in creatinine in great detail due to limited patient numbers. Interestingly, studies have demonstrated that oestrogen has an inhibitory effect on the actions of PPARα on lipid metabolism [34]. Gender differences have also been seen with PPARα expression greater in T cells of male mice; this expression being sensitive to androgen levels [35]. It would be interesting to study androgen and oestrogen levels amongst our patient cohort and investigate any correlation with change in creatinine. We also noted that creatinine increases were greater in non-diabetic patients. There has been no observed difference in a functional PPARα polymorphism (Leu162Val) between diabetic and non-diabetic patients [36]. Thus, once again we cannot provide any plausible explanations for these observations.

We have presented data from a retrospective study examining the use of fibrates in an outpatient setting. There are limitations to this kind of work. However, they are often useful in providing initial observations that must be validated by a more controlled study. The increase in creatinine following fibrates was similar to that observed in randomized controlled trials such as FIELD. We speculated that it may be related to PPARα activity in view of the association with TG reduction. However, current knowledge of PPARα receptors does not allow us to speculate any further. We acknowledge that regression to the mean with regards our findings should be considered. It is essential that prospective confirmatory studies be designed to confirm patient phenotypes and mechanisms that are associated with creatinine change associated with fibrates.
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