Prostatic Adenocarcinoma With Pseudocapsule
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Abstract

A 72-year-old man was referred to our hospital for treatment of prostatic adenocarcinoma with atypical imaging findings. The peri-prostatic mass was distinguishable from the prostate on magnetic resonance imaging, although the prostatic adenocarcinoma had been identified by needle biopsy at a previous hospital. To confirm the site of prostatic adenocarcinoma, transrectal ultrasonography-directed biopsy of the prostate and solitary mass was performed in our hospital. Diagnosis by re-biopsy revealed prostatic adenocarcinoma existing as a solitary mass. Radical prostatectomy was performed with no evidence of metastasis on imaging findings. Intraoperative findings revealed no adhesion between the prostate and pelvic floor. Pathological diagnosis revealed negative surgical margins, and a thin crust surrounding the adenocarcinoma. Strict pathological examination indicated a tiny adenocarcinoma existing within morphologically normal prostate tissue comprising nearly the entire mass. Based on these results, the final pathological diagnosis was locally advanced adenocarcinoma with pseudocapsule. In the present case, we concluded that a tiny prostatic adenocarcinoma had expanded in the lateral pelvic fascia, which formed a pseudocapsule. By 14 months postoperatively, prostate-specific antigen levels had decreased below the limit of detection. To the best of our knowledge, prostatic adenocarcinoma with pseudocapsule has not been described previously.
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Introduction

A variety of uncommon masses that can arise from the pel-

Case Report

A 72-year-old man was referred to our hospital for treatment of prostatic cancer with atypical imaging findings. PSA level was elevated, at 11.3 ng/mL. Digital rectal examination revealed a non-movable nodule palpable at the left base of the prostate. Transrectal ultrasonography (TRUS) revealed an isoechoic mass above the prostate, and MRI showed a solitary mass with circumferential capsule above the prostate (Fig. 1). Although prostatic cancer had been diagnosed in the previous hospital based on needle biopsy, whether the prostatic cancer had developed in the mass or within the normal morphology of the prostate remained unclear. We recommended re-biopsy with the intent of confirming the site of prostatic cancer. TRUS-directed biopsy of the prostate and mass demonstrated adenocarcinoma only in the mass, and this adenocarcinoma showed positive results for PSA on immunohistochemical examination. No metastases were identified on CT or bone scintigraphy. Radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy were performed 2 months after diagnosis of prostatic carcinoma. The postoperative course has been uneventful and the patient is currently doing well,
with no metastatic disease. As of 14 months postoperatively, PSA level has decreased below the level of detection (< 0.02 ng/mL).

Pathological examination

The prostate and tumor together weighed 19.0 g. Tumor with necrosis was present on the left side of the prostate. The tumor measured 2.2 × 2.4 × 2.1 cm and was surrounded by a thin crust. Microscopic examination revealed a tiny prostate cancer existing within morphologically normal prostate tissue, and all surgical margins were negative. The tumor was assigned a Gleason score of 3 + 4. All excised lymphatic tissue was negative for carcinoma (Fig. 1). Although we initially supposed that the adenocarcinoma was growing within ectopic prostatic tissue (EPT) in the periprostatic fascia, the present case had a different pathological finding about the tumor, as no benign gland was present. This microscopic finding differed from previous reports, which have uniformly described the presence of both benign and malignant glands within the tumor. However, we had difficulty detecting this tiny prostate cancer infiltrating the prostatic fascia, and demonstrating the presence of growth between the capsule of the prostate and the lateral pelvic fascia (LPF). Because the tumor had no benign glands and only a tiny prostate cancer existing near the tumor, the pathological diagnosis was adenocarcinoma growing between layers of periprostatic fascia acting as a pseudocapsule.

Discussion

The prostate has been described as being surrounded by two layers of periprostatic fascia: an inner layer around the prostate termed the ‘prostatic capsule’; and an outer layer termed the LPF [1, 2]. However, while the prostate has no capsule as such, strict histological examination has revealed prostatic fascia comprising membranous structures in multiple layers, including collagen tissue, adipose tissue, and areolar tissue [3]. Thickness of the LPF varies widely between individuals. Histological examination in the present case revealed multiple relatively thick layers making up the LPF, embedded in varying amounts of adipose tissue and blood vessels. Therefore, one of the reasons that prostatic carcinoma could not extend beyond the LPF was that it had not extended beyond the prostatic fascia.

The presence of myofibroblasts or stromal cells exhibiting smooth muscle features has been detected in the capsules of neoplasms in several organs, including the liver, thyroid...
gland, and pancreas [4-6]. In the urological field, renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is one disease showing encapsulation, and the presence of myofibroblasts and fibrosis has been described in the RCC capsule [7]. In the present case, the “capsule” consisted almost entirely of LPF. Prostate adenocarcinoma with pseudocapsule does not appear to have been reported previously.

Preoperative differential diagnoses for masses in the perivesical or periprostatic soft tissue include some diseases, such as teratoma, prostate cancer arising in EPT, and metastatic neoplasm from the prostate or other organs [8, 9]. As reaching a definite diagnosis based solely on imaging findings is difficult, histological examination of atypical pelvic masses is necessary.

In the present case, histological examination of a needle biopsy with immunohistochemical staining for PSA revealed the prostate as the origin of the tumor. Teratoma was excluded based on the lack of non-prostatic tissue. In the few reports that have described adenocarcinoma occurring in the EPT [10, 11], the presence of both benign and malignant glands has been confirmed. Adams described the first case of adenocarcinoma occurring in the EPT at the inferior prostatic vascular pedicle [10]. Microscopic examination in that case revealed that although the adenocarcinoma was within the normal prostate, the presence of both benign and malignant glands within a nodule separate and distinct from the prostate led to the interpretation that the adenocarcinoma had arisen within EPT, rather than as a metastatic deposit. The lack of metastatic disease in the patient described in that report, even after many years, lends further supportive evidence to this claim. A second case of adenocarcinoma occurring in EPT was described by Gardner [11]. In that case, adenocarcinoma was also present within normal prostatic tissue. At a location distant from the prostate (the dome of the bladder), the presence of both benign and malignant glands confirmed that the tumor had arisen in the EPT. Because the present case included no benign glands, EPT was excluded, and the final diagnosis was prostatic cancer within the LPF. The absence of metastatic disease and the decrease in PSA level to below the limit of detection, maintained even after 1 year, lends further support to this diagnosis. We therefore diagnosed adenocarcinoma growing between the capsule of the prostate and the LPF. Fortunately, infiltration beyond the LPF had not occurred in this case of low-grade prostatic adenocarcinoma with pseudocapsule.

Conclusion

We have described a case of prostate cancer with pseudocapsule. Our experience with this case suggests that one differential diagnosis for atypical findings on clinical imaging of the prostate is prostate cancer with pseudocapsule. Although no optimal treatment for cases like this has been defined, radical prostatectomy appears to have provided good results in this case.
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